arXiv:1411.4725v2 [math.CO] 18 Jun 2015

VERTEX OPERATORS ARISING FROM JACOBI - TRUDI IDENTITIES

NAIHUAN JING AND NATASHA ROZHKOVSKAYA

Abstract. We give an interpretation of the boson-fermion correspondence as a direct consequence of Jacobi – Trudi identity. This viewpoint enables us to construct from a generalized version of the Jacobi – Trudi identity the action of Clifford algebra on polynomial algebras that arrives as analogues of the algebra of symmetric functions. A generalized Giambelli identity is also proved to follow from that identity. As applications, we obtain explicit formulas for vertex operators corresponding to characters of the classical Lie algebras, shifted Schur functions, and generalized Schur symmetric functions associated to linear recurrence relations.

1. Introduction

The classical boson-fermion correspondence establishes an isomorphism of two modules of the Heisenberg algebra $\mathcal{H}$. One of the main tools of this correspondence is the identification of each of the graded components of the bosonic Fock space, which is a polynomial algebra $B^{(m)} = \mathbb{C}[p_1, p_2, \dots]$, with the algebra of symmetric functions in some variables. Then p_i's are interpreted as the power sum symmetric functions. Thus, the properties of symmetric functions proved to be very useful for applications of the boson-fermion correspondence, and were used by many authors in various papers.

Besides the Heisenberg algebra, there are also other important algebraic structures acting on the Fock space: a Clifford algebra, the Virasoro algebra, and the infinite-dimensional Lie algebra gl_∞. Their actions are closely related to each other. In particular, given an action of the Clifford algebra, using the normal ordered product, one can immediately construct the action of the Heisenberg algebra, the Virasoro algebra and of gl_∞ (see e.g. [17], 15.3, 16.3, 16.4). One should also notice that the boson-fermion correspondence provides an important example of an isomorphism with lattice vertex algebras (see e.g. [7, 5, 16, 17], etc.).

The action of the Clifford algebra on the fermionic Fock space is given by contraction and wedge operators (see (2.1), (2.2)), and the action on the bosonic Fock space is given by so-called vertex operators (see (4.10), (4.11)). Note that these vertex operators are written as products of two exponential functions, one of which "contains all differentiations", and the other one – all "multiplication operators". The existence of such decomposition is very important for further applications, where normal ordered products of such operators are used.

One of the most prominent applications of vertex operators action of the Clifford algebra is the study of solutions of soliton equations. The algebraic structure of solutions of soliton equations is described in [4, 12]. Vertex operators presentation of the action of the Clifford algebra on a function space allows one to construct the action of the the group GL_∞ on

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05E05, Secondary 17B65, 17B69, 11C20.

Key words and phrases. Jacobi-Trudi identity, Giambelli identity, Boson-Fermion correspondence, Clifford algebra, vertex operators, Schur functions, generalized symmetric functions.

the same space. The orbit of the vacuum vector under this action is an infinite-dimensional Grassmannian manifold, where the defining equations are equivalent to the soliton equations.

In this paper we highlight the core connection of the boson-fermion correspondence to the theory of symmetric functions. Based on two simple observations, it allows one not only to simplify some classical computations, but to recover the constructions of the boson-fermion correspondence through generalizations of symmetric functions and, as an immediate consequence, to obtain the action of other related algebraic structures on the polynomial rings.

The first observation is that the boson-fermion correspondence is equivalent to the statement of Jacobi – Trudi identity (3.2). This identity expresses Schur functions as determinants of matrices with complete symmetric functions as the entries. Exactly the Jacobi – Trudi identity provides the action of fermions on the ring of symmetric functions. It seems that this simple fact is generally overlooked in the literature, though several papers can be named, where versions of Jacobi-Trudi identities are applied: (see e.g. [1, 11] where variations of Jacobi – Trudi identities are used for construction of τ-functions). Note that, as we show below, the so-called Giambelli identity in a general form is equivalent to a general Jacobi – Trudi identity statement.

The second observation is the following. Traditionally, the graded components of the bosonic Fock space are identified with a polynomial algebra $B^{(m)} = \mathbb{C}[p_1, p_2, \dots]$, where generators p_i are power sums. This choice of generators of the ring of symmetric functions gives very simple description of the action of generators of the Heisenberg algebra as multiplication and differentiation operators (2.4). However, it has considerable disadvantages as well. First, many computations with these generators are technically involved: in contrast to complete symmetric functions or elementary symmetric functions, power sums are not elements of the well-studied basis of Schur functions s_λ. The second disadvantage is crucial for applications to generalizations: some generalizations of symmetric functions do not have a unique natural analogue of power sums (see e.g. section 3.1 in [10] or (12.20) - (12.25) in [24]).

As it will be shown below, some computations can be significantly simplified and standardized if one considers complete (or elementary) symmetric functions as the primary set of generators of the polynomial algebra $B^{(m)}$. This approach was already used for vertex operators acting on the ring of classical symmetric functions, here we recall just a few of these cases. In [27] so-called Bernstein operators are described, which also appear in example I.5.29 of [21]. In the same manner examples III.5.8, III.8.8 of [21] interpret the results on vertex operator presentation of Hall-Littlewood and Schur Q-functions (see [13, 14] for the original construction). In [8] the categorification of boson-fermion correspondence is also based on presenting the action of Clifford algebra through complete and elementary symmetric functions as well as their adjoint operators.

These two observations motivate the main idea and the goal of this note to develop a uniform approach to construct vertex operators for different generalizations of symmetric functions that naturally appear in representation theory. Given an analogue of a Jacobi – Trudi identity for a variation of the algebra of symmetric functions, one immediately writes the action of the Clifford algebra on that algebra (and, using the normal ordered product, the

action of the Heisenberg algebra, the Virasoro algebra and gl_∞). In some cases it is possible to go further and to decompose vertex operators as a product of a generating function for multiplication operators and of a generating function for adjoint operators.

We would like to remark that there are numerous generalizations of symmetric functions in mathematics, and many of those enjoy analogues of the Jacobi-Trudi formula. It would not be possible to recall all of them here, so we mention just a couple of inspiring examples. Many examples are contained in [21] (including the variations discussed in [22]). But more examples can be treated using the method in our paper and we list a few as follows. Characters of simple classical Lie algebras enjoy an analogue of the Jacobi-Trudi identity [9, 18], as well as double symmetric functions [23] and, in particular, shifted symmetric functions [24]. The latter give eigenvalues of the basis elements of the center of the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra gl_n. An analogue of the Jacobi – Trudi identity, but not in a determinant form, exists for Macdonald polynomials [20]. In [11], [25] a family of generalized Schur functions is considered, where powers of x^k in the definition of a Schur function are replaced by recursively defined functions $\phi_k(x)$. Cherednik-Bazhanov-Reshetikhin theorem is a Jacobi-Trudi-like description of a family of quantum transfer matrices of a quantum integrable spin chains model with rational GL(N)-invariant R-matrix [1, 2, 3]. This list can be continued. Many of these examples (in particular, generalizations [21], [25], [11]) fit into the unified construction that we discuss in this paper, and therefore, the action of Clifford algebra on these examples is defined in a straightforward way. In some cases it is possible to write vertex operators in simple form, similar to the classical case.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review classical boson-fermion correspondence associated to symmetric functions. In Section 3 we use a generalized form of Jacobi – Trudi identity to define combinatorially analogues of Schur functions, including complete and elementary symmetric functions. We prove that they enjoy properties similar to properties of classical symmetric functions, such as Newtons' identity and Giambelli identity. In Section 4 we define the action of Clifford algebra on generalized symmetric functions and give vertex operators presentations of these functions. In the classical case the action of generators of Clifford algebra can be expressed through 'multiplication' and 'differentiation' operators. We introduce in Section 4 analogues of adjoint operators and write similar decomposition for the action of Clifford algebra on generalized symmetric functions. In Section 5 we apply constructions of Section 3 and 4 to write closed formulas for vertex operators in several known examples: universal characters of classical Lie algebras, shifted symmetric functions and symmetric functions associated to linear recurrence relations.

2. Boson-fermion correspondence

Recall the algebraic construction of the classical boson-fermion correspondence (see e.g. [4, 12, 17]).

Let $V = \oplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{C}\, v_j$ be an infinite-dimensional complex vector space with a linear basis $\{v_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Define $F^{(m)}$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}$) to be a linear span of semi-infinite wedge products $v_{i_m} \wedge v_{i_{m-1}} \wedge \dots$ with the properties

(1) $i_m > i_{m-1} > \dots$,

(2) $i_k = k$ for $k << 0$.

The monomial of the form $|m\rangle = v_m \wedge v_{m-1} \wedge \dots$ is called the mth vacuum vector. The elements of $F^{(m)}$ are linear combinations of monomials $v_I = v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge \dots$ that are different from $|m\rangle$ only at finitely many places.

We define the fermionic Fock space as the graded space $\mathcal{F} = \oplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} F^{(m)}$. The Clifford algebra (fermions) acts on the space $\mathcal{F}$ by wedge operators ψ_k and contraction operators ψ_k^* ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$). More precisely, these operators are defined by

$$\psi_k (v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge \dots) = v_k \wedge v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge \dots, \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\psi_k^* (v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge \dots) = \delta_{k,i_1} v_{i_2} \wedge v_{i_3} \wedge \dots - \delta_{k,i_2} v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_3} \wedge \dots + \delta_{k,i_3} v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge \dots - \dots \quad . \tag{2.2}$$

Then the following relations are satisfied:

$$\psi_k \psi_m^* + \psi_m^* \psi_k = \delta_{k,m}, \quad \psi_k \psi_m + \psi_m \psi_k = 0, \quad \psi_k^* \psi_m^* + \psi_m^* \psi_k^* = 0.$$

Combine the operators ψ_k, ψ_k^* in generating functions (formal distributions)

$$\Psi(u) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \psi_k u^k \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi^*(u) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \psi_k^* u^{-k}. \tag{2.3}$$

Using the normal ordered product, we introduce the formal distribution

$$\alpha(u) =: \Psi(u)\Psi^*(u) := \Psi(u)_+ \Psi^*(u) - \Psi^*(u)\Psi(u)_-,$$

where by definition of the normal ordered product,

$$\Psi(u)_+ = \sum_{k\geq 1} \psi_k u^k, \quad \Psi(u)_- = \sum_{k\leq 0} \psi_k u^k.$$

It can be verified that the coefficients α_k of the formal distribution $\alpha(u) = \sum \alpha_k u^{-k}$ and the central element 1 satisfy relations of the Heisenberg algebra $\mathcal{A}$ (see e.g. [17], 16.3):

$$[1, \alpha_k] = 0, \quad [\alpha_k, \alpha_m] = m\delta_{m,-k} \quad (k, m \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

In this way the Fock space $\mathcal{F}$ becomes an $\mathcal{A}$-module.

There is also a natural action of the Heisenberg algebra $\mathcal{A}$ on the boson space, which is the algebra of polynomials $\mathcal{B}^{(m)} = z^m \mathbb{C}[p_1, p_2, \dots]$:

$$\alpha_n = \frac{\partial}{\partial_{p_n}}, \quad \alpha_{-n} = np_n, \quad \alpha_0 = m \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}). \tag{2.4}$$

The boson – fermion correspondence identifies the spaces $\mathcal{B}^{(m)}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{(m)}$ as equivalent $\mathcal{A}$-modules (see e.g. [4, 6, 12, 17]). The vacuum vector z^m is identified with $|m\rangle = v_m \wedge v_{m-1} \wedge \dots$. The exact correspondence relies on the interpretation of p_k's as symmetric functions. More precisely, p_k is interpreted as a k-th (normalized) power sum in some set of variables. Then each graded component $\mathcal{B}^{(m)}$ is viewed as the ring of symmetric functions, which is known to be the ring of polynomials in variables p_k's. There is a linear basis of the ring of symmetric functions, consisting of Schur symmetric functions $z^m s_\lambda$. Then the linear basis of elements $v_\lambda = (v_{\lambda_1+m} \wedge v_{\lambda_2+m-1} \wedge v_{\lambda_3+m-2} \dots)$ of $\mathcal{F}^{(m)}$, labeled by partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \geq \lambda_2, \geq \dots, \geq \lambda_l \geq 0)$ corresponds to the linear basis $z^m s_\lambda$ of $\mathcal{B}^{(m)}$ (see e.g. [17] Theorem 6.1).

Moreover, by this correspondence the action of operators ψ_k, ψ_k^* on $\mathcal{F}$ is carried to the action on the graded space $\mathcal{B} = \oplus \mathcal{B}^{(m)}$, where it is described by generating functions $\Psi(u), \Psi^*(u)$, written in the so-called vertex operator form (4.10,4.11).

3. General Jacobi – Trudi identity

Jacobi-Trudi identity for classical symmetric functions. First let us recall the statement of the original Jacobi-Trudi identity. For more details please refer e.g. to [21, 19]. Recall that the ring of classical symmetric functions in the variables $(x_1, x_2, \dots)$ is a polynomial ring in the coherent variables $\{h_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$, which are complete symmetric functions defined by

$$h_k = \sum_{i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_k} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_k}, \quad h_0 = 1. \tag{3.1}$$

The ring of symmetric functions possesses a linear basis of Schur functions s_λ, labeled by partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \geq \lambda_2, \geq \dots, \geq \lambda_l \geq 0)$. It is known that Schur symmetric functions can be expressed as polynomials in the h_k by the Jacobi - Trudi formula

$$s_\lambda = \det[h_{\lambda_i - i + j}]_{\{1\leq i,j\leq l\}}. \tag{3.2}$$

Generalization. Our goal is to define the action of Clifford algebra on rings of different analogues of symmetric functions and, whenever it is possible, to write closed formulas for vertex operators. A generalized version of Jacobi-Trudi identity will be the main tool for that. While in the classical setting Jacobi-Trudi identity is a theorem that describes a property of Schur symmetric functions, for our purposes firsthand we want to consider the elements *defined* by a generalized version of Jacobi-Trudi identity. Under the condition of linear independence of these elements, this general setting immediately implies the action of Clifford algebra on their linear span. Then this general setting can be applied to particular examples as follows. Given a variation of symmetric functions with an established analogue of Jacobi-Trudi identity, one can match that identity as a particular case of (3.5) with appropriate interpretation of $h_k^{(p)}$'s and consider the action (4.1, 4.2) of Clifford algebra. Formulas (4.15, 4.16) express the action of fermions through multiplication operators and corresponding adjoint operators, and in some cases it is possible go further and to deduce simple formulas for the generating functions (vertex operators). The examples of such applications are provided in Section 5.

We start with a set of independent variables $\{h_k^{(0)}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_{k>0}}$. Let $B = \mathbb{C}[h_1^{(0)}, h_2^{(0)}, \dots]$ be a polynomial ring in these variables. Let

$$h_0^{(0)} = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad h_k^{(0)} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k < 0.$$

The algebra B is graded by $deg(h_n^{(0)}) = n$, so

$$B = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n, \tag{3.3}$$

where B_n is the homogeneous subspace of degree n and $B_0 = \mathbb{C}$. Let $B^{\leq n} = \oplus_{i=0}^{n} B_{n-i}$. Then $B = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} B^{\leq n}$ and clearly $gr\, B \simeq B$. Suppose that $\{h_k^{(r)}\}$ $(k, r \in \mathbb{Z})$ is a set of elements of

B such that $h_k^{(r)} \in B^{\leq k+r}$. We *require* that

$$h_{-k}^{(k)} = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad h_k^{(r)} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k + r < 0. \tag{3.4}$$

For any partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_l \geq 0)$ the polynomial s_λ in $\{h_k^{(0)}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is *defined* by the formula

$$s_\lambda = \det \left[h_{\lambda_i - i + 1}^{(j-1)} \right]_{\{1 \leq i,j \leq l\}}. \tag{3.5}$$

We also *require* that polynomials $\{s_\lambda\}$ form a linear basis of $B = \mathbb{C}[h_1^{(0)}, h_2^{(0)}, \dots]$ when λ goes over the set of all partitions. In the following we will frequently refer to s_λ as the *generalized Schur function* associated to the partition λ.

Moreover, we can extend the definition of polynomials $s_\lambda \in B$ and define such a polynomial for any integer vector $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l)$ – it does not have to be a partition or even a composition, parts λ_i can be negative, and can be listed in any order. We represent the integer vector λ as a sequence with finitely many non-zero terms: $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots \lambda_l, 0, 0, 0 \dots)$. Given such λ, we associate the infinite matrix

$$S_\lambda = \left(\begin{array}{ccccc|c} h_{\lambda_1}^{(0)} & h_{\lambda_1}^{(1)} & h_{\lambda_1}^{(2)} & \dots & h_{\lambda_1}^{(l-1)} & \dots \\ h_{\lambda_2-1}^{(0)} & h_{\lambda_2-1}^{(1)} & h_{\lambda_2-1}^{(2)} & \dots & h_{\lambda_2-1}^{(l-1)} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ h_{\lambda_l-l+1}^{(0)} & h_{\lambda_l-l+1}^{(1)} & h_{\lambda_l-l+1}^{(2)} & \dots & h_{\lambda_l-l+1}^{(l-1)} & \dots \\ \hline h_{-l}^{(0)} & h_{-l}^{(1)} & h_{-l}^{(2)} & \dots & h_{-l}^{(l-1)} & \dots \\ h_{-l-1}^{(0)} & h_{-l-1}^{(1)} & h_{-l-1}^{(2)} & \dots & h_{-l-1}^{(l-1)} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{array} \right)$$

Note that by property (3.4), S_λ has a block form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix}$$

with A being an $l \times l$ matrix and D – an infinite upper-triangular matrix with one's on the diagonal. Therefore, if we consider the sequence of determinants of the $N \times N$ parts of the matrix S_λ

$$\det \left[h_{\lambda_i - i + 1}^{(j-1)} \right]_{i,j=1,\dots,N}, \quad N = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

for large enough N it will stabilize to a polynomial in variables $h_1^{(0)}, h_2^{(0)}, \dots$, which we will still denote as s_λ or as $\det S_\lambda$.

Lemma 3.1. *For any integer vector λ the following properties hold:*

$$A) \quad s_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k, \lambda_{k+1}, \dots, \lambda_l)} = -s_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{k+1}-1, \lambda_k+1, \dots, \lambda_l)} \tag{3.6}$$

$$B) \quad \textit{If } \lambda_k - \lambda_m = k - m, \textit{ for some } k, m, \textit{ then } s_\lambda = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. Change of the order of rows in the determinant $\det S_\lambda$. □

Remark 3.1. The case of classical symmetric functions correspond to $h_k^{(0)} = h_k$ – the ordinary complete symmetric functions, and $h_k^{(p)} = h_{k+p}$.

Elementary symmetric functions. There are several ways to define elementary symmetric functions. Traditionally, classical elementary symmetric functions are defined by the formula

$$e_k = \sum_{i_1<i_2<\cdots<i_k} x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\dots x_{i_k}, \quad e_0 = 1. \tag{3.8}$$

Elementary symmetric functions enjoy several important properties that are equivalent to definition (3.8). For our purposes it is convenient to consider the so-called *Newton's identity* as the primary defining property of elementary symmetric functions. The classical Newton's formula relates classical elementary symmetric functions e_i to complete symmetric functions h_k:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^i e_i h_{n-i} = 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq 1, \qquad e_0 h_0 = 1. \tag{3.9}$$

This identity is also equivalent to the condition that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the matrix $E = ((-1)^{i-j}e_{i-j})_{\{i,j=1,\dots,n\}}$ is the inverse of the matrix $H = (h_{i-j})_{\{i,j=1,\dots n\}}$. The formula (3.9) can be considered as one of alternative definitions of elementary symmetric functions, since (3.9) implies that e_k's are uniquely defined polynomials in h_l's given by

$$e_k = \det[h_{1-i+j}]_{1\leq i,j\leq k}. \tag{3.10}$$

In our case we generalize the formula (3.10) to become *the definition* of elementary symmetric functions.

Definition 3.1. For $a, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ define the *generalized elementary symmetric function* $e_a^{(p)}$ as follows:

$$e_a^{(p)} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for} \quad p < a, \\ 1, & \text{for} \quad p = a, \\ \det\left[h_{p+1-i}^{(-p+j)}\right]_{1\leq i,j\leq p-a}, & \text{for} \quad p > a. \end{cases}$$

Thus, for $p > a$, the polynomial $e_a^{(p)}$ is a $(p-a)\times(p-a)$ determinant

$$e_a^{(p)} = \det\begin{pmatrix} h_p^{(-p+1)} & h_p^{(-p+2)} & \dots & h_p^{(-a-1)} & h_p^{(-a)} \\ 1 & h_{p-1}^{(-p+2)} & \dots & h_{p-1}^{(-a-1)} & h_{p-1}^{(-a)} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & h_{a+1}^{(-a)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Remark 3.2. Comparing the definition with formula (3.5), note that $e_{-a}^{(1)} = s_{(1^{a+1})}$, if $a \geq -1$. Also $e_a^{(a+1)} = h_{a+1}^{(-a)}$.

Proposition 3.1. *The elements $e_a^{(p)}$ are solutions of the following Newton's identity:*

$$\sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty}(-1)^{a-p}h_b^{(p)}e_a^{(-p)} = \delta_{a,b} \quad \textit{for any } a, b \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.11}$$

Proof. For $b > a$ expand the determinant in the definition of $e_a^{(b)}$ by the first row:

$$e_a^{(b)} = h_b^{(-b+1)} e_a^{(b-1)} - h_b^{(-b+2)} e_a^{(b-2)} + \cdots + (-1)^{b-a-1} h_b^{(-a)} e_a^{(a)},$$

which gives $\sum_{s=0}^{b-a} (-1)^s h_b^{(-b+s)} e_a^{(b-s)} = 0$. Since $h_b^{(-b+s)} = 0$ for $s < 0$ and $e_a^{(b-s)} = 0$ for $s > b - a$, we can rewrite the last equality

$$\sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^{a-p} h_b^{(p)} e_a^{(-p)} = 0 \quad \text{for } b > a.$$

It is easy to get the same equality for $b < a$, since in this case (3.11) contains only zero terms. Finally, for $a = b$,

$$\sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^{a-p} h_a^{(p)} e_a^{(-p)} = h_a^{(-a)} e_a^{(a)} = 1.$$

□

Let us introduce the infinite matrices $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ with entries

$$\mathcal{H}_{bp} = h_{-b}^{(p)}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{pa} = (-1)^{a-p} e_{-a}^{(-p)}, \quad (a, b, p \in \mathbb{Z}). \tag{3.12}$$

They can be displayed as follows.

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & h_2^{(-1)} & h_2^{(0)} & h_2^{(1)} & \dots & h_2^{(a-1)} & h_2^{(a)} & \dots \\ \dots & 1 & h_1^{(0)} & h_1^{(1)} & \dots & h_1^{(a-1)} & h_1^{(a)} & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & 1 & h_0^{(1)} & \dots & h_0^{(a-1)} & h_0^{(a)} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \\ \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & h_{-a+1}^{(a)} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \begin{pmatrix} \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & 1 & -e_0^{(1)} & +e_{-1}^{(1)} & -e_{-2}^{(1)} & \dots & \pm e_{-a}^{(1)} & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & 1 & -e_{-1}^{(0)} & +e_{-2}^{(0)} & \dots & \pm e_{-a}^{(0)} & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & -e_{-2}^{(-1)} & \dots & \pm e_{-a}^{(-1)} & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & e_{-a}^{(-2)} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix},$$

where the columns are numerated to grow from the left to the right and rows are indexed to increase from the top to the bottom. Both matrices are upper-triangular with one's on the diagonal. Then (3.11) can be interpreted as $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{E} = Id$ for infinite matrices, or, more carefully, for any $M < N$, for finite upper-triangular submatrices $\mathcal{H}(M, N) = (\mathcal{H}_{bp})_{(M \le b, p \le N)}$, and $\mathcal{E}(M, N) = (\mathcal{E}_{qa})_{(M \le q, a \le N)}$:

$$\sum_{k=M}^{N} \mathcal{H}_{bk} \mathcal{E}_{ka} = \sum_{s=0}^{a-b} \mathcal{H}_{b,b+s} \mathcal{E}_{b+s,a} = \sum_{s=0}^{a-b} (-1)^{-s+a-b} h_{-b}^{(b+s)} e_{-a}^{(-b-s)} = (-1)^{a-b} \delta_{-a,-b} = \delta_{a,b}.$$

Hence for any $M < N$ one has $\mathcal{H}(M, N)\mathcal{E}(M, N) = Id$.

The following lemma is proved in [9] (Lemma A.42).

Lemma 3.2. *Let A and B be $r \times r$ matrices whose product is a scalar matrix $c \cdot Id$. Let (S, S') and (T, T') be permutations of the sequence $(1, \ldots, r)$, where S and T each consists of k integers, S', T' of $r-k$. Denote as $A_{S,T}$ the corresponding minor (it is the determinant of the $k \times k$ matrix whose i, j entry is a_{s_i,t_j} with $s_i \in S, t_j \in T$). Then*

$$c^{r-k} A_{S,T} = \varepsilon \det(A) B_{T',S'},$$

where ε is the product of the signs of the two permutations.

Corollary 3.1. *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l)$ be a partition, and let $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots \mu_k)$ be the conjugate partition. Then*

$$s_\lambda = \det[e^{(i)}_{j-\mu_j}]_{1 \le i,j \le k}.$$

Proof. From (3.5), s_λ is the minor $\mathcal{H}(-k, l-1)_{S,T}$ of the matrix $\mathcal{H}(-k, l-1)$ with $S = \{-\lambda_1, \ldots, -\lambda_l + l - 1\}$ and $T = \{0, 1, \ldots, l-1\}$. Then $S' = \{\mu_1 - 1, \ldots, \mu_k - k\}$, $T' = \{-k, \ldots, -1\}$, and $S \sqcup S' = T \sqcup T' = \{-k, \ldots, l-1\}$, with $\varepsilon = (-1)^{\sum \mu_j} = (-1)^{\sum \lambda_j}$. By Lemma 3.2 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} s_\lambda &= \det \mathcal{H}(-k, l-1)_{S,T} = \varepsilon \det \mathcal{E}(-k, l-1)_{T',S'} = \varepsilon \det[(-1)^{\mu_j - j - i} e^{(i)}_{j-\mu_j}]_{1 \le i,j \le k} \\ &= \varepsilon (-1)^{\sum_j (\mu_j - j) - \sum_i i} \det[e^{(i)}_{j-\mu_j}]_{1 \le i,j \le k} = \det[e^{(i)}_{j-\mu_j}]_{1 \le i,j \le k}. \end{aligned}$$

□

General Giambelli identities. Recall that sometimes the linear basis of the fermionic Fock space is matched with the linear basis of symmetric functions not through the Jacobi-Trudi identity, but through another (equivalent) combinatorial property of classical Schur functions, the so-called Giambelli identity (see e.g. (1.18) in [12] or (2.10) in [1]). Here we prove the analogue of Giambelli identity generalized symmetric functions defined by (3.5).

For any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define the hook Schur function $s_{(m|n)}$ by

$$s_{(m|n)} = \sum_{p=0}^{n} (-1)^p h^{(p)}_{m+1} e^{(-p)}_{-n}. \tag{3.13}$$

If $m \ge 0$ and $n \ge 0$, $s_{(m|n)}$ is exactly the generalized Schur function $s_{(m+1,1^n)}$ of the hook $(m+1, 1^n)$ according to (3.5). This can be easily seen by expanding the Jacobi-Trudi determinant along the first row and observe that the $(1, p+1)$-minor is exactly the elementary symmetric function $e^{(-p-1)}_{-n}$ by Definition 3.1, for $p = 0, 1, \cdots, n$.

Clearly by Newton identity (3.11), $s_{(m|n)} = 0$ if either $m < 0$ or $n < 0$, except that $s_{(m|n)} = (-1)^n$ when $m + n = -1$.

Recall that the Frobenius notation $(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_r | \beta_1 \cdots \beta_r) = (\alpha|\beta)$ of the partition λ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i &= \lambda_i - i, \\ \beta_i &= \lambda'_i - i, \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \cdots, r$, where r is the length of the main diagonal in λ. Then the conjugate of $(\alpha|\beta)$ is $(\beta|\alpha)$, and the hook $(m+1, 1^n)$ is $(m|n)$ in Frobenius notation. Sometimes it is convenient to allow $i > r$ and still use the formula to extend the Frobenius notation. For example, $\lambda = (3\ 2^3) = (2\ 0|3\ 2)$ in Frobenius notation and $\lambda = (2, 0, -1, -2, \cdots | 3, 2, 1, 0, -1, \cdots)$ or any cut-off beyond r in the extended Frobenius notation.

Theorem 3.1. *For any partition $\lambda = (\alpha|\beta) = (\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_r|\beta_1 \cdots \beta_r)$ and any $n \geq l(\lambda)$, the generalized Schur function $s_{(\alpha|\beta)}$ satisfies*

$$s_{(\alpha|\beta)} = \det[s_{(\lambda_i - i|n-j)}]_{1\leq i,j\leq n} = \det[s_{(\alpha_i|\beta_j)}]_{1\leq i,j\leq r}. \tag{3.14}$$

Proof. Let $(\alpha|\beta) = \lambda = (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_l)$. For any $n \geq l(\lambda)$, consider the matrix

$$[(-1)^{i-1} e_{-n+j}^{(-i+1)}]_{1\leq i,j\leq n}.$$

As $e_{-n+j}^{(-i+1)} = 0$ for $i + j > n + 1$, the matrix has determinant 1 regardless of the parity of n. By the definition of the hook Schur function (3.13) it follows that

$$s_{(\lambda_i - i|n-j)} = \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} (-1)^p h_{\lambda_i+1-i}^{(p)} e_{-n+j}^{(-p)}. \tag{3.15}$$

This implies the following matrix identity in $Mat_n(B)$:

$$[s_{(\lambda_i - i|n-j)}] = [h_{\lambda_i - i+1}^{(j-1)}][(-1)^{i-1} e_{-n+j}^{(-i+1)}]. \tag{3.16}$$

Taking the determinant of (3.16) we get that

$$s_\lambda = \det[s_{(\lambda_i - i|n-j)}]_{1\leq i,j\leq n}.$$

For each $i > r$, the ith row has only one non-zero entry $s_{(\lambda_i - i|n-j)} = (-1)^{n-j}$ at the column $j = n+1+\alpha_i$. It is well-known that $\{n+1+\alpha_i\}_{1\leq i\leq n} \sqcup \{n-\beta_j\}_{1\leq j\leq m} = \{1, 2 \cdots, m+n\}$ for any $m \geq \lambda_1$ (cf. [21], I, (1.7)), i.e. $\{-1-\alpha_i\}_{1\leq i\leq n} \sqcup \{\beta_j\}_{1\leq j\leq m} = \{-m, \cdots, n-1\}$. So when we remove the last $n-r$ rows and the $n-r$ columns numbered by $n+1+\alpha_{r+1}, \cdots, n+1+\alpha_n$, the supplement $r \times r$-minor of $[s_{(\lambda_i - i|n-j)}]$ is exactly $\det[s_{(\alpha_i|\beta_j)}]_{r\times r}$. Therefore $s_\lambda = \pm \det[s_{(\alpha_i|\beta_j)}]$. The overall sign factor is given by

$$(-1)^{\sum_{i=r+1}^n (n-j_i)+((i+j_i)-(i-r-1))} = (-1)^{\sum_{i=r+1}^n (n+r+1)} = (-1)^{(n-r)(n+r+1)} = 1,$$

which shows the Giambelli identity. □

Remark 3.3. It is clear that the Jacobi - Trudi identities for both $h_n^{(i)}$ and $e_{-n}^{(i)}$ are special cases of the Giambelli identity.

For example, let $\lambda = (3\ 2^3) = (2\ 0|3\ 2)$, so $r = 2$. Take $n = 4$ and the extended Giambelli determinant is

$$\det[s_{(\alpha_i|4-j)}] = det \begin{bmatrix} s_{(2|3)} & s_{(2|2)} & s_{(2|1)} & s_{(2|0)} \\ s_{(0|3)} & s_{(0|2)} & s_{(0|1)} & s_{(0|0)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \det[s_{(\alpha_i|\beta_j)}]_{2\times 2}.$$

4. Clifford algebra action and Vertex Operator Presentation.

In accordance with the classical case, to construct the action of the Clifford algebra on the boson space, we need to take multiple copies of the polynomial ring B. Namely, let z be a variable, set $\mathcal{B}^{(m)} = z^m B$ and $\mathcal{B} = \oplus \mathcal{B}^{(m)} = \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}, h_1^{(0)}, h_2^{(0)}, \dots]$. The elements $\{s_\lambda z^m\}$ form a linear basis of $\mathcal{B}$, where s_λ are defined by (3.5) and are labeled by partitions λ, and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Define the operators ψ_k and ψ_k^* ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$) acting on this basis by the following rules:

$$\psi_k(s_\lambda z^m) = s_{(k-m-1,\lambda)} z^{m+1}, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\psi_k^*(s_\lambda z^m) = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{t+1} \delta_{k-m-1,\,\lambda_t - t}\, s_{(\lambda_1+1,\ldots,\lambda_{t-1}+1,\lambda_{t+1},\lambda_{t+2}\ldots)} z^{m-1}. \tag{4.2}$$

Note that in the sum (4.2) only one term survives by the property (3.7) of s_λ. A direct check on the basis elements $\{s_\lambda z^m\}$ immediately proves the following proposition:

Proposition 4.1. *(1) The action of ψ_k, ψ_k^* satisfies the commutation relations of the Clifford algebra of fermions:*

$$\psi_k\psi_l + \psi_l\psi_k = 0, \quad \psi_k^*\psi_l^* + \psi_l^*\psi^*{}_k = 0, \quad \psi_k\psi_l^* + \psi_l^*\psi_k = \delta_{k,l}.$$

(2) Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_l)$ be a partition $\lambda \vdash |\lambda|$, and let $\mu = (\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_k)$ be the conjugate of the partition λ. Then

$$\psi_{\lambda_l+l} \ldots \psi_{\lambda_2+2}\psi_{\lambda_1+1}(1) = s_\lambda z^l, \tag{4.3}$$

$$\psi^*_{-\lambda_1-l+1} \ldots \psi^*_{-\lambda_{l-1}-1}\psi^*_{-\lambda_l}(1) = (-1)^{|\lambda|} s_\mu z^{-l}. \tag{4.4}$$

Remark 4.1. Following [27], where (4.3) was stated for classical symmetric functions, sometimes presentation of this kind are called "Bernstein (vertex) operators presentation". Vertex operators and the presentation of classical symmetric functions (4.3), (4.4) were constructed in [13].

Our next goal is to combine the operators ψ_k, ψ_k^* into generating functions and write them in the form of "vertex operators". Set

$$\Psi(u,m) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \psi_k \,|_{B^m} u^k, \quad \Psi^*(u,m) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \psi_k^* \,|_{B^m} u^{-k}. \tag{4.5}$$

Vertex operators for classical symmetric functions. First, let us review the combinatorial description of operators (4.5) in the classical case. Recall (see e.g. I. 5, [21]) that the ring of symmetric functions possess a scalar product with classical Schur functions s_λ as an orthonormal basis: $\langle s_\lambda, s_\mu\rangle = \delta_{\lambda,\mu}$. Then for any symmetric function f one can define an adjoint operator acting on the ring of symmetric functions by the standard rule: $\langle D_f g, w\rangle = \langle g, fw\rangle$ for any symmetric functions g, f, w. Using notation $D_\lambda := D_{s_\lambda}$, one gets

$$\langle D_\lambda s_\mu, s_\nu\rangle = \langle s_\mu, s_\lambda s_\nu\rangle, \quad \text{hence} \quad D_\lambda s_\mu = s_{\mu/\lambda},$$

where $s_{\mu/\lambda}$ is the skew-Schur function of shape μ/λ. This symmetric function can be expressed as a determinant

$$s_{\mu/\lambda} = \det[h_{\mu_i-\lambda_j-i+j}]_{1\leq i,j\leq n}. \tag{4.6}$$

Introduce generating functions for e_k, h_k and for corresponding adjoint operators:

$$E(u) = \sum_{k\geq 0} e_k u^k, \quad H(u) = \sum_{k\geq 0} h_k u^k,$$

$$DE(u) = \sum_{k\geq 0} D_{e_k} u^k, \quad DH(u) = \sum_{k\geq 0} D_{h_k} u^k.$$

Then it is known that for classical symmetric functions

$$\Psi(u,m) = u^{m+1} z\, H(u) DE\left(\frac{-1}{u}\right), \tag{4.7}$$

$$\Psi^*(u,m) = u^{-m} z^{-1}\, E(-u) DH\left(\frac{1}{u}\right). \tag{4.8}$$

Note that very often these formulas are written through power sums (see e.g. [17], Lecture 5). Namely, introduce the (normalized) classical power sums, which are symmetric functions of the form

$$p_k = \frac{1}{k}\sum_i x_i^k. \tag{4.9}$$

Then $H(u) = \exp(\sum_{k\geq 1} p_k u^k)$ and $E(u) = \exp(-\sum_{k\geq 1} p_k (-u)^k)$, since $H(u)E(-u) = 1$.

Any symmetric function f can be expressed as a polynomial $f = \varphi(p_1, 2p_2, 3p_3, \dots)$ in (normalized) power sums. Then one has $D_f = \varphi(\partial_{p_1}, \partial_{p_2}, \partial_{p_3}, \dots)$. (See e.g. [21], I.5, example 3). Hence

$$DH(u) = \exp\left(\sum_k \frac{\partial_{p_k}}{k} u^k\right), \quad DE(u) = \exp\left(-\sum_k \frac{\partial_{p_k}}{k}(-u)^k\right),$$

and we can write

$$\Psi(u,m) = u^{m+1} z \exp\left(\sum_{j\geq 1} p_j u^j\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{j\geq 1} \frac{\partial_{p_j}}{j} u^{-j}\right), \tag{4.10}$$

$$\Psi^*(u,m) = u^{-m} z^{-1} \exp\left(-\sum_{j\geq 1} p_j u^j\right) \exp\left(\sum_{j\geq 1} \frac{\partial_{p_j}}{j} u^{-j}\right). \tag{4.11}$$

Note that the formulas for vertex operators has the form of decomposition into a product of two generating functions, which separate the action of differentiations, and of multiplication operators. This decomposition is important for further applications of vertex operators.

Operators ψ_k, ψ_k^* expressed through D_p and $D^{(p)}$. Our next goal is to express operators ψ_k, ψ_k^* through analogues of $e_k^{(p)}$, $h_k^{(p)}$ D_{e_k}, D_{h_k}. In some examples we will be able to go further and write nice formulas in the spirit of (4.7) and (4.8) for generating functions $\Psi(u,m), \Psi^*(u,m)$.

Motivated by definition (4.6) of classical skew-symmetric functions through determinants, we introduce two operators on B, which are generalizations of D_{h_p} and D_{e_p}. Define

$$D_p(s_{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_l}) := \begin{cases} \det[h^{(j)}_{\lambda_i - i}]_{(j=0,1,\dots,\hat{p},\dots l, \quad i=1,\dots,l)}, & \text{if} \quad 0 \leq p \leq l, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.12}$$

The following interpretation of this definition will be useful. For $0 \le p \le l$, one can write

$$D_p(s_{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_l}) = \det \left(\begin{array}{cccccc|c} h^{(0)}_{\lambda_1-1} & \dots & h^{(p-1)}_{\lambda_1-1} & h^{(p+1)}_{\lambda_1-1} & \dots & h^{(l)}_{\lambda_1-1} & \dots \\ h^{(0)}_{\lambda_2-2} & \dots & h^{(p-1)}_{\lambda_2-2} & h^{(p+1)}_{\lambda_2-2} & \dots & h^{(l)}_{\lambda_2-2} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ h^{(0)}_{\lambda_l-l} & \dots & h^{(p-1)}_{\lambda_l-l} & h^{(p+1)}_{\lambda_l-l} & \dots & h^{(l)}_{\lambda_l-l} & \dots \\ \hline h^{(0)}_{-l-1} & \dots & h^{(p-1)}_{-l-1} & h^{(p+1)}_{-l-1} & \dots & h^{(l)}_{-l-1} & \dots \\ h^{(0)}_{-l-2} & \dots & h^{(p-1)}_{-l-2} & h^{(p+1)}_{-l-2} & \dots & h^{(l)}_{-l-2} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{array} \right). \tag{4.13}$$

The matrix above is obtained from the matrix S_λ by subtracting 1 from all the lower indices in the entries of S_λ and deleting the p-th column. Note that the resulting matrix has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix},$$

where A is $(l-1) \times (l-1)$ matrix, and D is upper-triangular matrix with 1's on the diagonal. Note that this interpretation naturally extends to the case $p > l$, where D block would have several zero's on the diagonal. We can define a sequence of polynomials in $h^{(a)}_k$ – the determinants of $N \times N$ left upper part of that matrix. This sequence stabilizes for big enough N, and we conclude that presented by (4.13) determinant $D_p(s_{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_l})$ is a well-defined polynomial in $h^{(a)}_k$. Note that $D_0(1) = 1$ and $D_p(1) = 0$ for $p \neq 0$. Operator D_p is the combinatorial analogue of the classical operator D_{e_p}.

Define

$$D^{(p)}(s_{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_l}) = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{t+1} h^{(p)}_{\lambda_t - t + 2} s_{(\lambda_1+1,\dots,\lambda_{t-1}+1,\lambda_{t+1},\dots,\lambda_l,0,0,\dots)}. \tag{4.14}$$

This also can be written as

$$D^{(p)}(s_{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_l}) := \det \left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} h^{(p)}_{\lambda_1+1} & h^{(0)}_{\lambda_1+1} & \dots & h^{(l-1)}_{\lambda_1+1} & \dots \\ h^{(p)}_{\lambda_2} & h^{(0)}_{\lambda_2} & \dots & h^{(l-1)}_{\lambda_2} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ h^{(p)}_{\lambda_l-l+2} & h^{(0)}_{\lambda_l-l+2} & \dots & h^{(l-1)}_{\lambda_l-l+2} & \dots \\ \hline h^{(p)}_{-l+1} & h^{(0)}_{-l+1} & \dots & h^{(l)}_{-l+1} & \dots \\ h^{(p)}_{-l} & h^{(0)}_{-l} & \dots & h^{(l)}_{-l} & \dots \\ & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{array} \right).$$

The matrix above is obtained from the matrix S_λ by raising all the lower indices of its elements by 1 and by adding the first column with entries $(h^{(p)}_{\lambda_i - i + 2})_{i=1,2,\dots}$. Then this matrix has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix},$$

where A is $(l+1)$ by $(l+1)$ matrix, C is a matrix with all zero-entires except (may be) the first column, and D is upper-triangular matrix with 1's on the diagonal. Note that $h^{(p)}_{-i+2} = 0$ for $-i+2+p<0$, and therefore, the sequence of determinants of $N \times N$ upper left corner submatrices of this matrix stabilizes to a well-defined polynomial in variables $h^{(k)}_a$. Hence $D^{(p)}$ is a well-defined operator on B.

Note that $D^{(p)} \equiv 0$ for $p \geq 0$, since in this case the defining matrix in $D^{(p)}(s_{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_l})$ will have two identical columns. Also note that $D^{(p)}(s_{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_l}) = 0$ if $-p > \lambda_1 + 1$, $D^{(-1)}(1) = 1$, and $D^{(p)}(1) = 0$ for $p \neq -1$. Thus, $D^{(-p)}$ is the analogue of $D_{h_{p-1}}$.

Proposition 4.2.

$$\psi_k|_{B^m} = \sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^p h^{(p)}_{k-m-1} D_p z, \tag{4.15}$$

$$\psi^*_k|_{B^m} = (-1)^{k-m+1} \sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^p e^{(p)}_{k-m+1} D^{(-p)} z^{-1}. \tag{4.16}$$

Proof. We compare the action of operators on both sides on the basis elements $s_\lambda z^m$. For the proof of (4.15) use the expansion of the determinant $s_{(k-m-1,\lambda)}$ by the first row:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_k(s_\lambda z^m) = s_{(k-m-1,\lambda)} z^{m+1} &= \sum_{p=0}^{l} (-1)^p h^{(p)}_{k-m-1} \det[h^{(j)}_{\lambda_i - i}]_{(j=0,1,\dots,\hat{p},\dots l,\, i=1,\dots,l)} z^{m+1} \\ &= \left(\sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^p h^{(p)}_{k-m-1} D_p z \right) s_\lambda z^m.\end{aligned}$$

For the proof of (4.16) we apply Newton's formula:

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{p+k-m+1} e^{(p)}_{k-m+1} D^{(-p)} z^{-1} \right) s_\lambda z^m \\ &= \sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{p+k-m+1} e^{(p)}_{k-m+1} \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{t+1} h^{(-p)}_{\lambda_t - t+2} s_{(\lambda_1+1,\dots,\lambda_{t-1}+1,\lambda_{t+1},\lambda_{t+2},\dots)} z^{m-1} \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{t+1} \left(\sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{p+k-m+1} e^{(p)}_{k-m+1}\, h^{(-p)}_{\lambda_t - t+2} \right) s_{(\lambda_1+1,\dots,\lambda_{t-1}+1,\lambda_{t+1},\lambda_{t+2},\dots)} z^{m-1} \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{t+1} \delta_{k-m+1,\lambda_t - t+2}\, s_{(\lambda_1+1,\dots,\lambda_{t-1}+1,\lambda_{t+1},\lambda_{t+2},\dots)} z^{m-1} = \psi^*_k(s_\lambda z^m).\end{aligned}$$

□

5. Applications - explicit formulas for vertex operators

In this section we illustrate on examples how the generalized Jacobi-Trudi identity almost effortlessly provides vertex operators for the action of Clifford algebra on some analogues of symmetric functions. Note also that, given formal distributions $\Psi(u)$, $\Psi^*(u)$ those coefficients

satisfy Clifford algebra relations, one can define the formal distributions

$$\alpha(u) =: \Psi(u)\Psi^*(u) :, \quad X(u,v) =: \Psi(u)\Psi^*(v) :,$$
$$L(u) = 1/2(: \partial\Psi(u)\Psi^*(u) : + : \partial\Psi^*(u)\Psi(u) :),$$

with the property that the coefficients of $\alpha(u)$ satisfy relations of Heisenberg algebra generators, coefficients of $X(u,v)$ satisfy the relations of Lie algebra gl_∞ generators, and $L(u)$ is a Virasoro formal distribution (see e.g. (16.11), (16.15) and Corollary 16.1 in [17]).

Characters of classical Lie algebras. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a symplectic or orthogonal Lie algebra. In [18] it is shown that, similarly to Schur functions, universal characters $\{\chi_\mathfrak{g}(\lambda)\}$ of irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-representations form a linear basis of the ring of ordinary symmetric functions. For $a > 0$ denote as J_a the universal character of irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-representation with the highest weight $a\omega_1$, where ω_1 is the first fundamental weight. Set $J_0 = 1$, and $J_a = 0$ if $a < 0$. Several forms of Jacobi-Trudi identities for characters of irreducible representations of classical Lie algebras are known (e.g. [9], [18]). Here we would like to use the identities of [9] (Propostions 24.22, 24.33, 24.44):

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_r > 0)$ be a partition. Then the universal character $\chi_\mathfrak{g}(\lambda)$ of irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-representation with the highest weight λ is given by*

$$\chi_\mathfrak{g}(\lambda) = \det[h^{(j-1)}_{\lambda_i - i+1}]_{i,j=1\ldots r},$$

$$h^{(r)}_a = \begin{cases} J_{a+r} + J_{a-r}, & \text{if} \quad r > 0, \\ J_{a+r}, & \text{if} \quad r \leq 0. \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

Hence we consider the boson space with $\{h^{(r)}_a\}$ as in (5.1), and the linear basis consisting of elements $s_\lambda = \chi_\mathfrak{g}(\lambda)$. This allows to write the corresponding variation of formulas (4.7), (4.8).

Proposition 5.2. *Generating functions (4.5) are given by*

$$\Psi(u,m) = u^{m+1} z J(u)(DE(-u) + DE(-1/u) - D_0),$$
$$\Psi^*(u,m) = u^{-m+1} z^{-1} J(u)^{-1}(DH(u) - DH(1/u)),$$

where $J(u) = \sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}} J_s u^s$, $DE(u) = \sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}} D_p u^p$, and $DH(u) = \sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}} D^{(p)} u^p$, with operators D_p and $D^{(p)}$ defined by (4.12), (4.14).

Proof. Using that $D_p \equiv 0$ for $p < 0$, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(u,m) &= u^{m+1} z \sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{p\geq 0} (-1)^p h^{(p)}_s D_p\, u^s \\ &= u^{m+1} z \sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}} \big(\sum_{p\geq 0} (-1)^p (J_{s+p} + J_{s-p}) D_p \;-\; J_s D_0 \big) u^s \\ &= u^{m+1} z J(u)(DE(-1/u) + DE(-u) - D_0). \end{aligned}$$

Let $K(-u) = \sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}} K_p (-u)^p$ be a formal series defined by the property

$$J(u)K(-u) = 1. \tag{5.2}$$

As usual, (5.2) is equivalent to the matrix form relation $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{K} = Id$, where $\mathcal{J} = \sum_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}} J_{-i+j}E_{ij}$, and $\mathcal{K} = \sum_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{j-i}K_{j-i}E_{ij}$. Define the the matrix $A = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} E_{ii} + \sum_{i>0} E_{-ii}$ and the inverse $A^{-1} = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} E_{ii} - \sum_{i>0} E_{-ii}$. Then the matrix $\mathcal{H}$ in (3.12) for $h_a^{(r)}$ from (5.1) is given by $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{J}A$, and, since $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{E} = Id$, we have $\mathcal{E} = A^{-1}\mathcal{K}$ and

$$e_j^{(i)} = \begin{cases} K_{i-j}, & \text{for} \quad i \leq 0, \\ K_{i-j} - K_{-i-j}, & \text{for} \quad i > 0. \end{cases}$$

Using that $D^{(-p)} \equiv 0$ for $p \leq 0$, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi^*(u,m) &= u^{-m+1}z^{-1}\sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{p>0}(-1)^p e_s^{(p)}(-u)^{-s}D^{(-p)} \\
&= u^{-m+1}z^{-1}\sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{p>0}(-1)^p(K_{p-s} - K_{-p-s})(-u)^{-s}D^{(-p)} \\
&= u^{-m+1}z^{-1}K(-u)\sum_{p>0}(-1)^p((-u)^{-p} - (-u)^p)D^{(-p)} \\
&= u^{-m+1}z^{-1}K(-u)(DH(u) - DH(1/u)).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 5.1. Relation (5.2) implies that, together with $K_0 = 1$, and $K_p = 0$ for $p < 0$, $K_p = \det[J_{1-i+j}]_{1\leq i,j\leq p}$, for $p > 0$, and $\chi_{\mathfrak{g}}((1^p)) = K_p - K_{p-2}$.

Remark 5.2. It is known that characters of the classical Lie algebras can be expressed through evaluations of classical symmetric functions, where the following identifications take place:

$$\begin{aligned}
J_a &= h_a(x_1,\dots,x_n,x_1^{-1},\dots x_n^{-n}) - h_{a-2}(x_1,\dots,x_n,x_1^{-1},\dots x_n^{-n}) \quad \text{for} \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}, \\
J_a &= h_a(x_1,\dots,x_n,x_1^{-1},\dots x_n^{-n},1) - h_{a-2}(x_1,\dots,x_n,x_1^{-1},\dots x_n^{-n},1) \quad \text{for} \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}, \\
J_a &= h_a(x_1,\dots,x_n,x_1^{-1},\dots x_n^{-n}) \quad \text{for} \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n},
\end{aligned}$$

where h_k are the ordinary complete symmetric functions (3.1). Accordingly, formulas of Proposition 5.2 can be rewritten in terms of the power sums (4.9), and then one can compare Proposition 5.2 with the vertex operators introduced in [15]. In [15] the opposite direction is undertaken: vertex operators that give realization of characters of classical Lie algebras are introduced through their action on the boson space. The operators satisfy generalized fermions relations, this allows the authors of [15] to deduce several versions of Jacobi-Trudi identities for characters of the orthogonal and symplectic Lie algebras.

Shifted Schur functions. We follow notations and definitions of [24]. Shifted symmetric functions are well-known for their applications in the study of the centers of universal enveloping algebras, of Capelli-type identities and of asymptotic characters for unitary groups and symmetric groups. Let $U(gl_n)$ be the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra gl_n. The Harish-Chandra isomorphism identifies the center of $U(gl_n)$ with the algebra of shifted symmetric functions, sending a central element to its eigenvalue on a highest weight module. There is a distinguished basis of the center, the images of the elements of that basis under the isomorphism are the so-called shifted Schur functions. Thus, using Harish-Chandra isomorphism, the constructed below action of Clifford algebra on shifted symmetric functions can be transported to the action of Clifford algebra on the center of $U(gl_n)$.

Combinatorially a shifted Schur polynomial $s^*_\lambda(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ can be defined as a ratio of determinants

$$s^*_\lambda(x_1,\dots,x_n)=\frac{\det(x_i+n-i|\lambda_j+n-j)}{\det(x_i+n-i|n-j)}, \tag{5.3}$$

where

$$(x|k)=\begin{cases} x(x-1)\dots(x-k+1) & \text{for } k=1,2\dots,\\ 1, \quad \text{for } k=0,\\ \frac{1}{(x+1)\dots(x+(-k))} & \text{for } k=-1,-2\dots. \end{cases}$$

Note that for generic value of x we can write $(x|k)=\frac{\mathbf{\Gamma}(x+1)}{\mathbf{\Gamma}(x+1-k)}$, where $\mathbf{\Gamma}(x)$ is the special gamma-function. Also the following relations are useful in further calculations:

$$(x|k)\,(-x-1|-k)=(-1)^k, \qquad (x|a)=(x|b)(x-b|a-b). \tag{5.4}$$

The stability property of shifted Schur polynomials allows to introduce the shifted Schur functions, which we denote as $s^*_\lambda=s^*_\lambda(x_1,x_2,\dots)$. In particular, the complete shifted Schur functions $h^*_r=s^*_{(r)}$ are

$$h^*_r(x_1,x_2,\dots)=\sum_{1\le i_1\le\dots\le i_r<\infty}(x_{i_1}-r+1)(x_{i_2}-r+2)\dots x_{i_r},$$

and the elementary shifted Schur functions $e^*_r=s^*_{(1^r)}$ are

$$e^*_r(x_1,x_2,\dots)=\sum_{1\le i_1<\dots<i_r<\infty}(x_{i_1}+r-1)(x_{i_2}+r-2)\dots x_{i_r}.$$

Theorem 13.1 in [24] states that

$$s^*_\lambda=\det[\phi^{j-1}h^*_{\lambda_i-i+j}]_{1\le i,j\le l},$$

where ϕ is the automorphism of the algebra of shifted Schur functions, defined by the formula

$$\phi(h^*_k)=h^*_k+(k-1)h^*_{k-1}.$$

By Corollay 1.6. in [24], shifted Schur functions s^*_λ form a linear basis in the ring of shifted symmetric functions, which is also a polynomial ring in variables $h^*_1,h^*_2,\dots$. Thus, we are exactly in the setting of the Section 3 with $s^*_\lambda=\det[h^{(j-1)}_{\lambda_i-i+1}]$ and

$$h^{(r)}_k=\phi^r h^*_{k+r}.$$

In this case our Definition 3.1 gives for $p>a$,

$$e^{(p)}_a=\det[\phi^{-p+j}h_{1-i+j}]_{1\le i,j\le p-a}. \tag{5.5}$$

Note that $e^*_k=e^{(1)}_{1-k}$. Moreover,

$$\phi^{-p+1}(e^*_k)=\det[\phi^{j-1}(\phi^{-p+1}h_{1-i+j})]_{1\le i,j\le k}=\det[\phi^{-p+j}h_{1-i+j}]_{1\le i,j\le k}=e^{(p)}_{p-k}.$$

Traditionally, for shifted Schur functions generating functions are written not in powers u^k, but in shifted powers $(u|k)$, which makes some formulas easier to work with (see e.g.

[24]). Let us consider both cases: as before, we define $\Psi(u,m)$, $\Psi^*(u,m)$ by (4.5), using ordinary powers of u. Alternatively, set

$$\tilde{\Psi}(u,m)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\psi_k|_{B_m}\frac{1}{(u|k-1)},\quad \tilde{\Psi}^*(u,m)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\psi_k^*|_{B_m}(-u|k+1),$$

using shifted powers of u.

Proposition 5.3. *Generating functions have the form*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi(1/u,m)&=zu^{-m-1}\sum_{p\geq 0}(\partial_u-1)^p\big(H(1/u)\big)\ D_pu^p,\\
\Psi^*(1/u,m)&=z^{-1}u^m\sum_{p\geq 0}(\partial_u+1)^p\big(E(-1/u)\big)\ D^{(-p-1)}u^p,\\
\tilde{\Psi}(u,m)&=z\frac{1}{(u|m)}\tilde{H}(u-m)D\tilde{E}(u-m),\\
\tilde{\Psi}^*(u,m)&=z^{-1}(-u|m)\tilde{E}(u+m)D\tilde{H}(u+m-1),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&E(u)=\sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}}e_r^*u^r,\quad H(u)=\sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}}h_r^*u^r,\quad \tilde{E}(u)=\sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}}\frac{e_r^*}{(u|r)},\quad \tilde{H}(u)=\sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}}\frac{h_r^*}{(u|r)},\\
&D\tilde{E}(u)=\sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}}D_p\frac{(-1)^p}{(u|-p)},\quad D\tilde{H}(u)=\sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}}D^{(p)}\frac{(-1)^p}{(u|p)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. For the proof of the first equality write:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi(1/u,m)&=u^{-m-1}z\sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(\sum_{p\geq 0}(-1)^p\phi^ph_{s+p}^*D_p\right)u^s\\
&=u^{-m-1}z\sum_{p\geq 0}\left(\sum_{i=0}^p\sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}}\binom{p}{i}(s+p-1|i)h_{s+p-i}^*u^{-s}\right)(-1)^pD_p\\
&=u^{-m-1}z\sum_{p\geq 0}\left(\sum_{i=0}^p\sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}}\binom{p}{i}(r+i-1|i)h_r^*u^{-r+p-i}\right)(-1)^pD_p\\
&=u^{-m-1}z\sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}}h_r^*\sum_{p\geq 0}\left(\sum_{i=0}^p\binom{p}{i}(r+i-1|i)u^{-r-i}\right)(-1)^pD_pu^p.
\end{aligned}$$

Using that $(-\partial_u)^i(u^{-r})=(r+i-1|i)u^{-r-i}$, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^p\binom{p}{i}(r+i-1|i)u^{-r-i}=\left(\sum_{i=0}^p\binom{p}{i}(-\partial_u)^i\right)(u^{-r})=(1-\partial_u)^p(u^{-r}),$$

and

$$\Psi(1/u,m)=u^{-m-1}z\sum_{p\geq 0}(1-\partial_u)^p\left(\sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}}h_r^*u^{-r}\right)(-1)^pD_pu^p=u^{-m-1}z\sum_{p\geq 0}(\partial_u-1)^p\big(H(1/u)\big)\ D_pu^p.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^*(1/u,m) &= u^{m-1}z^{-1}\sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(\sum_{p>0}(-1)^p\phi^{-p+1}e^*_{p-s}(-u)^s\,D^{(-p)}\right)\\ &= u^{m-1}z^{-1}\sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}}e^*_r\sum_{p>0}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\binom{p-1}{i}(r+i-1|i)(-u)^{-r-i}\right)D^{(-p)}u^p\\ &= u^{m-1}z^{-1}\sum_{p\geq 0}(1+\partial_u)^{p-1}\left(\sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}}e^*_r(-u)^{-r}\right)D^{(-p)}u^p\\ &= u^{m-1}z^{-1}\sum_{p\geq 0}(1+\partial_u)^{p-1}(E(-1/u))\,D^{(-p)}u^p.\end{aligned}$$

For the proof of the third equality write, using (5.4),

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Psi}(u,m) &= z\frac{1}{(u|m)}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(\sum_{p\geq 0}(-1)^p h^{(p)}_{k-m-1}D_p\right)\frac{1}{(u-m|k-m-1)}\\ &= z\frac{1}{(u|m)}\sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(\sum_{p\geq 0}(-1)^p\phi^p h^*_{s+p}D_p\right)\frac{1}{(u-m|s)}\\ &= z\frac{1}{(u|m)}\sum_{p\geq 0}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{p}\sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}}\binom{p}{i}(s+p-1|i)h^*_{s+p-i}\frac{1}{(u-m|s)}\right)(-1)^pD_p\\ &= z\frac{1}{(u|m)}\sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}}h^*_r\sum_{p\geq 0}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{p}\binom{p}{i}\frac{(r+i-1|i)}{(u-m|r-p+i)}\right)(-1)^pD_p.\end{aligned}$$

Using that for $v\notin\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ and $r,t\in\mathbb{Z}$, $p\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p}\binom{p}{k}\frac{(r+k-1|k)}{(v|t-p+k)} = \frac{\mathbf{\Gamma}(v-t+1)\mathbf{\Gamma}(v-t+p+r+1)}{\mathbf{\Gamma}(v-t+r+1)\mathbf{\Gamma}(v+1)} = \frac{1}{(v-t+r|r)(v|t-p-r)},$$

we derive the third statement:

$$\tilde{\Psi}(u,m) = z\frac{1}{(u|m)}\sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}}\frac{h^*_r}{(u-m|r)}\sum_{p\geq 0}\frac{(-1)^pD_p}{(u-m|-p)}.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Psi}^*(u,m) &= z^{-1}(-u|m)\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{p>0} e^{(p)}_{k-m+1}\,(-1)^{k-m+1}(-u-m|k-m+1)\,(-1)^p D^{(-p)} \\
&= z^{-1}(-u|m)\sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{p>0} \phi^{-p+1}(e^*_{p-s})\,(-1)^s(-u-m|s)\,(-1)^p D^{(-p)} \\
&= z^{-1}(-u|m)\sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(\sum_{p>0}\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\binom{p-1}{i}\frac{(p-s-1|i)}{(u+m-1|-s)}e^*_{p-s-i}(-1)^p D^{(-p)}\right) \\
&= z^{-1}(-u|m)\sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} e^*_r \sum_{p>0}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\binom{p-1}{i}\frac{(r+i-1|i)}{(u+m-1|r+i-p)}\right)(-1)^p D^{(-p)} \\
&= z^{-1}(-u|m)\sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{p>0}\frac{e^*_r\,(-1)^p D^{(-p)}}{(u+m|r)(u+m-1|-p)} \\
&= z^{-1}(-u|m)\sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{E}(u+m)D\tilde{H}(u+m-1).
\end{aligned}$$

and the fourth statement is proved. □

Linear recurrence. Assume that elements $h^{(p)}_k$ of B are given by a linear recurrence relation

$$h^{(p)}_k = \sum_i t_{ki}h^{(p-1)}_i, \quad t_{ki}\in\mathbb{C}, \quad k,i\in\mathbb{Z}. \tag{5.6}$$

Note that condition (4.1) implies that $t_{k\,k+1}=1$ and $t_{k\,m}=0$ for $m>k+1$. We combine the coefficients into an infinite matrix $T=\sum_{kl} t_{-k\,-l}E_{kl}$. Classical symmetric functions are a particular case of this example.

Even though the Clifford algebra action is automatically defined by (4.1), (4.2), a recursion of type (5.6) may not lead in general to a desired decomposition of formal distributions $\Psi(u,m),\Psi^*(u,m)$ as a product of generating functions of the type $H(u)$, $DH(u)$, $E(u)$, $DE(u)$. In this case one may want to substitute the relation on generating functions by a relation on generating matrices and vectors.

Namely, let $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ be infinite generating matrices, defined as in (3.12). Let $\mathcal{H}^{(p)}$ be the p-th column of $\mathcal{H}$, and let $\mathcal{E}^{(p)}$ be the the $(-p)$-th row of $\mathcal{E}$. Note that $\mathcal{H}^{(p)}=T\mathcal{H}^{(p-1)}$ and the property $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{E}\mathcal{H}=Id$ implies that $\mathcal{E}^{(p)}T=\mathcal{E}^{(p-1)}$, and

$$e^{(p)}_k=\sum_i(-1)^{k+i+1}e^{(p-1)}_i t_{i\,k}.$$

Define the generating vectors $\overline{\Psi}(m),\overline{\Psi}^*(m)$ for ψ_k,ψ^*_k acting on $\mathcal{B}$ as follows:

$$\overline{\Psi}(m)=\begin{pmatrix}\dots,\\ \psi_{k+1}|_{B_m},\\ \psi_k|_{B_m},\\ \psi_{k-1}|_{B_m},\\ \dots\end{pmatrix},\quad \overline{\Psi}^*(m)=\left(\dots,\psi^*_{k+1}|_{B_m},\psi^*_k|_{B_m},\psi^*_{k-1}|_{B_m},\dots\right),$$

both vectors have $\psi_k|_{B_m}$ or $\psi_k^*|_{B_m}$ entry on the $(-k)$th place. Denote as L the shift operator $\sum_k E_{k,k+1}$. Then (4.16) and (4.15) can be expressed as a relation on vectors and matrices those entires are operators on $\mathcal{B}$:

$$\overline{\Psi}(m) = zL^{m+1}\sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}}(-T)^p\,\mathcal{H}^{\,(0)}\,D_p, \quad \overline{\Psi}^*(m) = z^{-1}L^{m-1}\sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{E}^{\,(0)}\,T^pD^{(-p)}.$$

Example 5.1. For ordinary symmetric functions we have $T = L^{-1}$.

Example 5.2. In [25] a Jacobi –Trudi identity is proved for a large class of generalized symmetric polynomials, where generalized Schur polynomials are given by the formula (3.5) with recurrence relation

$$h_k^{(p+1)} = h_{k+1}^{(p)} + a(k)h_k^{(p)} + b(k)h_{k-1}^{(p)},$$

which corresponds to the tridiagonal operator $T = \sum_k E_{k,k-1} + a(-k)E_{k,k} + b(-k)E_{k,k+1}$.

Example 5.3. Let the elements $h_k^{(p)}$ be defined as

$$h_k^{(p)} = \sum_{i=-1}^{l} a_i h_{k-i}^{(p-1)}, \tag{5.7}$$

where $\{a_{-1} = 1, a_0, \ldots, a_l\}$ are fixed complex constants.

This recursion corresponds to the sum of powers of a shift operator: $T = \sum_{i=-1}^{l} a_i L^i$. In this particular case it is possible to decompose formal distributions $\Psi(u,m)$ and $\Psi^*(u,m)$ in the form similar to (4.7), (4.8).

Proposition 5.4. *Set* $f(u) = \sum_{i=-1}^{l} a_i u^i$. *The recurrence relation (5.7) with the constants* $\{a_{-1} = 1, a_0, \ldots, a_l\}$ *gives the generating functions* $\Psi(u,m)$

$$\Psi(u,m) = u^{m+1}zH(u)DE(-f(u)), \tag{5.8}$$

$$\Psi^*(u,m) = u^{-m+1}z^{-1}E(-u^{-1})DH(f(u)^{-1}), \tag{5.9}$$

where $H(u) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} h_k^{(0)}u^k$, $E(u) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} e_k^{(0)}u^k$, $DE(u) = \sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}} D_p u^p$, $DH(u) = \sum_p D^{(p)}u^p$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi(u,m) &= u^{m+1}z\sum_{p\geq 0}(-1)^p\sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{-1\leq i_1\ldots i_p\leq l} a_{i_1}\ldots a_{i_p}h^{(0)}_{s-i_1-\cdots-i_p}D^pu^s\\
&= u^{m+1}z\sum_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}h_t^{(0)}u^t\sum_{p\geq 0}\sum_{-1\leq i_1,\ldots,i_p\leq l} a_{i_1}\ldots a_{i_p}u^{i_1+\cdots+i_p}(-1)^pD^p\\
&= u^{m+1}z\sum_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}h_t^{(0)}u^t\sum_{p\geq 0}\big(-\sum_{i=-1}^{l}a_iu^i\big)^pD^p,
\end{aligned}$$

and (5.8) follows. Using that $e_k^{(p)} = \sum_{i=-1}^{l}(-1)^{i-1}a_ie_{k+i}^{(p-1)}$, the proof of the second equality follows the same lines. □

Remark 5.3. Recall that for ordinary symmetric functions, $h_k^{(p)} = h_{p+k}$, $e_k^{(p)} = e_{p-k}$, $D^{(-p)} = D_{h_{p-1}}$, $D_p = D_{e_p}$, and all together it is a particular case of Proposition 5.4 that corresponds to the sequence $\{a_{-1} = 1, a_1 = 0, \ldots, a_l = 0\}$. Thus, Proposition 5.4 is a simple alternative combinatorial way to deduce the formulas of classical vertex operators (4.7, 4.8, 4.10, 4.11).

References

[1] A. Alexandrov, V. Kazakov, S. Leurent, Z. Tsuboi, A. Zabrodin, *Classical tau-function for quantum spin chains*, J. High Energy Phys. (2013), no. 9.

[2] V. Bazhanov, N. Reshetikhin, *Restricted solid-on-solid models connected with simply laced algebras and conformal field theory*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 23 (1990) 1477–1492.

[3] I. Cherednik, *An analogue of character formula for Hecke algebras*, Funct. Anal. Appl. 21:2 (1987) 94–95 (translation: 172–174).

[4] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara, T. Miwa, *Transformation groups for soliton equations*. In: M. Jimbo, T. Miwa eds, Nonlinear integrable systems - classical theory and quantum theory, pp. 39–119, World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 1983.

[5] E. Frenkel, D. Ben-Zvi, *Vertex algebras and algebraic curves*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 88. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.

[6] I. B. Frenkel, *Two constructions of affine Lie algebra representations and boson-fermion correspondence in quantum field theory*, J. Funct. Anal. 44 (1981), no. 3, 259–327.

[7] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky, A. Meurman, *Vertex operator algebras and the Monster.* Pure and Applied Mathematics, 134. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.

[8] I. B. Frenkel, I. Penkov, V. Serganova, *A categorification of the boson-fermion correspondence via representation theory of* $sl(\infty)$, arXiv:1405.7553.

[9] W. Fulton, J. Harris, *Representation theory. A first course*, GTM 129, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.

[10] I. M. Gelfand, D. Krob, A. Lascoux, B. Leclerc, V. S. Retakh, J.-Y. Thibon, *Noncommutative symmetric functions.* Adv. Math. 112 (1995), no. 2, 218–248.

[11] J. Harnad, E. Lee, *Symmetric polynomials, generalized Jacobi-Trudi identites and* τ*-functions*, Comm. Math. Phys., arXiv:1304.0020.

[12] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, *Solitons and infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 19 (1983) 943–1001.

[13] N. Jing, *Vertex operators, symmetric functions, and the spin group* Γ_n, J. Algebra 138 (1991), no. 2, 340–398.

[14] N. Jing, *Vertex operators and Hall-Littlewood symmetric functions*, Adv. Math. 87 (1991), no.2., 226–248.

[15] N. Jing, B. Nie, *Vertex operators, Weyl determinant formulae and Littlewood duality*, Ann. Combin., DOI: 10.1007/s00026-015-0271-z. (arXiv:1308.2792).

[16] V. G. Kac, *Vertex algebras for beginners.* 2nd ed., University Lecture Series, 10. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.

[17] V. G. Kac, A. K. Raina, N. Rozhkovskaya, *Bombay lectures on highest weight representations of infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, 2nd ed., World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 2013.

[18] K. Koike and I. Terada, *Young diagrammatic methods for the representation theory of the classical groups of types* B_n,C_n,D_n, J. Algebra 107 (1987), 466–511.

[19] A. Lascoux, *Symmetric functions and combinatorial operators on polynomials*, CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. in Math., 99, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.

[20] M. Lassalle, *A short proof of generalized Jacobi-Trudi expansions for Macdonald polynomials*, Contemp. Math. 417 (2006) 271–280.

[21] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, 2nd ed., Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1995.

[22] I. G. Macdonald, *Schur functions: theme and variations*. Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire (Saint-Nabor, 1992), 5–39, Publ. Inst. Rech. Math. Av., 498, Univ. Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg, 1992.

[23] A. I. Molev, *Comultiplication rules for the double Schur functions and Cauchy identities*, Electron. J. Combin. 16 (2009), no. 1, Research Paper 13, 44 pp.

[24] A. Okounkov, G. Olshanski, *Shifted Schur functions*, St. Petersburg Math. J. 9 (1998), 239–300.

[25] A. N. Sergeev, A. P. Veselov, *Jacobi-Trudy formula for generalized Schur polynomials*, Mosc. Math. J., 14:1 (2014), 161–168.

[26] H. Weyl, *The classical groups; their invariants and representations*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1946.

[27] A. V. Zelevinsky, *Representations of finite classical groups, A Hopf algebra approach.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 869, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981.

Department of Mathematics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695, USA
E-mail address: jing@math.ncsu.edu

Department of Mathematics, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66502, USA
E-mail address: rozhkovs@math.ksu.edu